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Abstract

Copulas have become a popular tool in multivariate modeling successfully applied in many fields. A good open-source implementation of copulas is much needed for more practitioners to enjoy the joy of copulas. This article presents the design, features, and some implementation details of the R package copula. The package provides a carefully designed and easily extensible platform for multivariate modeling with copulas in R. S4 classes for most frequently used elliptical copulas and Archimedean copulas are implemented, with methods for density/distribution evaluation, random number generation, and graphical display. Fitting copula-based models with maximum likelihood method is provided as template examples. With the classes and methods in the package, the package can be easily extended by user-defined copulas and margins to solve problems.
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1. Introduction

Copulas have become a popular multivariate modeling tool in many fields where multivariate dependence is of interest and the usual multivariate normality is in question. In actuarial science, copulas are used in modeling dependent mortality and losses (Frees, Carrière, and Valdez 1996; Frees and Valdez 1998; Frees and Wang 2005). In finance, copulas are used in asset allocation, credit scoring, default risk modeling, derivative pricing, and risk management (Bouyé, Durrleman, Bikeghbali, Riboulet, and Roncalli 2000; Embrechts, Lindskog, and McNeil 2003; Cherubini, Luciano, and Vecchiato 2004). In biomedical studies, copulas are used in modeling correlated event times and competing risks (Wang and Wells 2000; Escarela and Carrière 2003). In engineering, copulas are used in multivariate process control and hydrological modeling (Yan 2006; Genest and Favre 2007).

A copula is a multivariate distribution whose marginals are all uniform over (0, 1). For a
p-dimensional random vector $U$ on the unit cube, a copula $C$ is

$$C(u_1, \ldots, u_p) = \Pr(U_1 \leq u_1, \ldots, U_p \leq u_p).$$

(1)

Combined with the fact that any continuous random variable can be transformed to be uniform over $(0, 1)$ by its probability integral transformation, copulas can be used to provide multivariate dependence structure separately from the marginal distributions. Copulas first appeared in the probability metrics literature. Let $F$ be a $p$-dimensional distribution function with margins $F_1, \ldots, F_p$. Sklar (1959) first showed that there exists a $p$-dimensional copula $C$ such that for all $x$ in the domain of $F$,

$$F(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = C\{F_1(x_1), \ldots, F_p(x_p)\}.$$

(2)

The last two decades, particularly the last 10 years, witnessed the spread of copulas in statistical modeling. Joe (1997) and Nelsen (1999) are the two comprehensive treatments on this topic. A frequently cited and widely accessible reference is Genest and MacKay (1986), titled “The Joy of Copulas”, which gives properties of an important family of copulas, Archimedean copulas; see Section 2.

For the joy of copulas to be enjoyable by everyone in need, software implementation is important. Unfortunately, there are very few software packages available for copula-based modeling. One of the exceptions is the S+FinMetrics module (Insightful Corporation 2002) of S-PLUS (Insightful Corporation 2005). For an array of commonly used copulas, the S+FinMetrics module provides functions to evaluate their density and distribution, generate random numbers from them, and fit them for given data. These functionalities, however, are limited because only bivariate copulas are implemented. Furthermore, the software is commercial. It is desirable to have an open source platform for the development of copula methods and applications.

“R is a free software environment for statistical computing and graphics” (R Development Core Team 2007a). It runs on all platforms including Unix/Linux, Windows, and MacOS. Cutting-edge statistical developments are easily incorporated into R by the mechanism of contributed packages with quality assurance (R Development Core Team 2007b). It provides excellent graphics and interfaces easily with lower level compiled code such as C/C++ or Fortran. An active developer-user interaction is available through the R-help mailing list. Hundreds of contributed packages are available and many existing functionalities, for example, the density and distribution functions of multivariate normal and $t$ distributions, can be used for copulas. Therefore, it is a natural choice to write an R package for copulas.

The package copula is designed using the object-oriented features of the S language (Chambers 1998). It is publicly available at the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN, http://CRAN.R-project.org/). The new S4-style classes are created for multi-dimensional elliptical copulas and Archimedean copulas. The extreme value copula class is implemented for the bivariate case. For each copula family, methods of density, distribution, and random number generator are implemented. For visualization purpose, methods of contour and perspective plots are provided for bivariate copulas. Maximum likelihood method for fitting copula-based models is also available and can be easily extended.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly presents the S4-style classes defined in the package. Section 3 describe methods for copula classes, including density,
distribution, random number generator, and graphics. Section 4 illustrates how to fit copula-based models to data. Section 5 discusses some numerical issues in the implementation of the package. Section 6 concludes.

In the sequel, all R code demonstration assumes that the package has been loaded:

\[ \texttt{R> library("copula")} \]

The required packages \texttt{mvtnorm} (Genz, Bretz, and Hothorn 2005), \texttt{sn} (Azzalini 2006), and \texttt{scatterplot3d} (Ligges and Mächler 2003), if not already loaded, will too be loaded by this call. To make all the illustrations reproducible, we set the random seed:

\[ \texttt{R> set.seed(1)} \]

2. Classes

Two main classes are defined in the \texttt{copula} package: \texttt{copula} and \texttt{mvdc}. The \texttt{copula} class is for defining copulas, while the \texttt{mvdc} class is for defining multivariate distributions via copula.

2.1. The \texttt{copula} class

Two most frequently used copula families are elliptical copulas and Archimedean copulas. The \texttt{copula} package has implemented virtual classes \texttt{ellipCopula} and \texttt{archmCopula}, both extending the virtual class \texttt{copula}. These virtual classes are designed to provide a flexible way to associate actual classes, that share some properties but have different representations (Chambers 1998, p. 292).

An elliptical copula is the copula corresponding to an elliptical distribution by the Sklar’s theorem. General discussion about elliptical distributions can be found in Fang, Kotz, and Ng (1990). Let \( F \) be the multivariate CDF of an elliptical distribution. Let \( F_i \) be CDF of the \( i \)th margin and \( F_i^{-1} \) be its inverse function (quantile function), \( i = 1, \ldots, p \). The elliptical copula determined by \( F \) is

\[
C(u_1, \ldots, u_p) = F[F_i^{-1}(u_1), \ldots, F^{-1}_p(u_p)].
\]  

(3)

Elliptical copulas have become very popular in finance and risk management because of their easy implementation. Convenience in obtaining conditional distributions is another advantage in using them for predicting (Frees and Wang 2005).

Actual elliptical copula classes implemented in the package are \texttt{normalCopula} for normal copula and \texttt{tCopula} for \( t \)-copula, specified by multivariate normal and multivariate \( t \) distribution. Both copulas has a dispersion matrix, inherited from the elliptical distributions, and \( t \)-copula has one more parameter, the degrees of freedom (df). Since copulas are invariant to monotonic transformation of the margins, the standardized dispersion matrix, or correlation matrix, determines the dependence structure. Commonly used dispersion structures are implemented: autoregressive of order 1 (\texttt{ar1}), exchangeable (\texttt{ex}), Toeplitz (\texttt{toep}), and unstructured (\texttt{un}).

The corresponding correlation matrices are, for example, in the case of dimension \( p = 3 \),

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & \rho_1 & \rho_1^2 \\
\rho_1 & 1 & \rho_1 \\
\rho_1^2 & \rho_1 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & \rho_1 & \rho_1 \\
\rho_1 & 1 & \rho_1 \\
\rho_1 & \rho_1 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 \\
\rho_1 & 1 & \rho_1 \\
\rho_2 & \rho_1 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 \\
\rho_1 & 1 & \rho_3 \\
\rho_2 & \rho_3 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(4)
Table 1: Summary of three One-Parameter (α) Archimedean Copulas for \( p > 2 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Parameter Space</th>
<th>Generator ( \varphi(t) )</th>
<th>Generator Inverse ( \varphi^{-1}(s) )</th>
<th>Frailty Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clayton (1978)</td>
<td>( \alpha \geq 0 )</td>
<td>( t^{-\alpha} - 1 )</td>
<td>( (1 + s)^{-1/\alpha} )</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank (1979)</td>
<td>( \alpha \geq 0 )</td>
<td>(- \ln\left(\frac{e^{-\alpha t} - 1}{e^{-\alpha} - 1}\right))</td>
<td>(- \alpha^{-1} \ln\left(1 + s(e^{-\alpha} - 1)\right))</td>
<td>Log series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gumbel (1960)</td>
<td>( \alpha \geq 1 )</td>
<td>((- \ln t)^\alpha)</td>
<td>\exp(-s^{1/\alpha})</td>
<td>Positive stable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( \rho_j \)'s are dispersion parameters. The following code creates a `normalCopula` object and a `tCopula` object, with self-explanatory arguments:

```r
R> myCop.norm <- ellipCopula(family = "normal", dim = 3, dispstr = "ex", + param = 0.4)
R> myCop.t <- ellipCopula(family = "t", dim = 3, dispstr = "toep", + param = c(0.8, 0.5), df = 8)
```

These objects can be used to apply methods defined in Section 3.

An Archimedean copula is constructed through a generator \( \varphi \) as

\[
C(u_1, \ldots, u_p) = \varphi^{-1}\left\{\varphi(u_1) + \cdots + \varphi(u_p)\right\},
\]

where \( \varphi^{-1} \) is the inverse of the generator \( \varphi \). In order for (5) to be a copula, the generator needs to be a \( p \)-monotonic function (see, for example, Nelsen 1999, Theorem 4.6.2). A generator uniquely (up to a scalar multiple) determines an Archimedean copula. Details of generators for various Archimedean copulas can be found in Nelsen (1999).

Implemented Archimedean copula classes in the package are commonly used one-parameter families, such as `claytonCopula` for Clayton copula (Clayton 1978), `frankCopula` for Frank copula (Frank 1979), and `gumbelCopula` for Gumbel copula (Gumbel 1960). Constructors of these copulas are available. For example:

```r
R> myCop.clayton <- archmCopula(family = "clayton", dim = 3, param = 2)
```

It is worth noting that Archimedean copulas with dimension 3 or higher only allows positive association. Negative association is allowed for bivariate Archimedean copulas. The three one-parameter multivariate Archimedean copulas (\( p > 2 \)) implemented in the package are summarized in Table 1. The parameter value at the boundary of parameter space gives the independent copula after taking the limit. The generator inverse and frailty distribution are used in random number generation.

2.2. The `mvdc` class

The `mvdc` class is designed to construct multivariate distributions with given margins using copulas as in (2). This class is an actual class. It has three major components: `copula` specifies the copula \( C \) in (2); `margins` specifies the names of the marginal distributions \( F_1, \ldots, F_p \); and `paramMargins` is a list of list, each specifying the parameter values of the corresponding margin.
The following code creates a \texttt{mvdc} object which represents a trivariate distribution with standard normal margins and Clayton copula:

\begin{verbatim}
R> myMvd <- mvdc(copula = myCop.clayton, margins = c("norm", "norm", + "norm"), paramMargins = list(list(mean = 0, sd = 2), list(mean = 0, + sd = 1), list(mean = 0, sd = 2)))
\end{verbatim}

\texttt{R} provides a comprehensive set of statistical distributions \cite{R:Development:Core:Team:2007}, such as \texttt{norm}, \texttt{t}, \texttt{gamma}, \texttt{lnorm}, \texttt{weibull}, etc. They can be used to specify the margins. User-defined distributions can be used as long as the PDF, CDF, and quantile function of the distribution, with prefix \texttt{d}, \texttt{p}, and \texttt{q}, are available. For instance, if functions \texttt{dfancy}, \texttt{pfancy}, and \texttt{qfancy} have been supplied, then distribution \texttt{fancy} can be used in the margins. Of note is that these functions should be vectorized.

\section{Methods}

\subsection{Distribution and density}

The method functions for distribution and density for a \texttt{copula} object are \texttt{pcopula} and \texttt{dcopula}.

For an elliptical copula, the distribution is (3). Evaluation of (3) needs implementation of the joint CDF of the elliptical distribution and univariate quantile functions for each margin. Differentiating (3) gives the density of an elliptical copula

\[ c(u_1, \ldots, u_p) = \frac{f[F_1^{-1}(u_1), \ldots, F_p^{-1}(u_p)]}{\prod_{i=1}^{p} f_i[F_i^{-1}(u_i)]}, \]  

where \( f \) is the joint PDF of the elliptical distribution, and \( f_1, \ldots, f_p \) are marginal density functions. For example, after some algebra, the density of a Gaussian copula with dispersion matrix \( \Sigma \) is \cite{Song:2000}

\[ c(u_1, \ldots, u_p | \Sigma) = |\Sigma|^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}^\top (I_p - \Sigma^{-1}) \mathbf{q} \right\}, \]  

where \( \mathbf{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_p)^\top \) with \( q_i = \Phi^{-1}(u_i) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, p \), and \( \Phi \) is the CDF of \( N(0, 1) \). Evaluation of (6) needs implementation of \( f \) and \( f_1, \ldots, f_p \) in addition to the univariate quantiles \( F_1^{-1}, \ldots, F_p^{-1} \). Fortunately, the \texttt{R} package \texttt{mvtnorm} and \texttt{sn} provide \( F \) and \( f \) for multivariate normal and multivariate \texttt{t}. Other functions are available in \texttt{R base}.

For an Archimedean copula, the distribution and density both depend on the generator function and its inverse function. These functions are defined for each Archimedean copula. The density of (5) is to be obtained by differentiating the distribution function, which, in many situations, can be very tedious. Symbolic differentiation is available through function \texttt{D} in \texttt{R base} and can be used to obtain CDF and PDF expressions. As the dimension \( p \) increases, however, the memory needed to do the symbolic differentiation to obtain PDF expression can go quickly beyond the available memory on a typical computer. Furthermore, because the expressions are not symbolically simplified, numerical problems may occur for particular
points in the support and for particular parameter values. In the current release, symbolic expressions are obtained from Mathematica and processed by function deriv to generate algorithmic expressions before both are imported into R; see Section 5 for more details on numerical issues.

The method functions for distribution and density for a mvdc are pmvdc and dmvdc. The distribution function is defined in (2). The density function is, by differentiating (2),

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = c[F_1(x_1), \ldots, F_p(x_p)] \prod_{i=1}^{p} f_i(x_i)$$  (8)

where $c$ is the density of $C$, and $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ are marginal densities.

Example code to evaluate distribution and density will be given in the next subsection.

3.2. Random number generator

The random number generator method is rcopula for a copula object and rmvdc for an mvdc object.

The random number generator of an elliptical copula is straightforward given a random number generator of the corresponding elliptical distribution. The Sklar’s theorem implies that random numbers from a copula can be generated by transforming each margin of random numbers from a multivariate distribution with its probability integral transformation. The copula package provides generators for normal copula and t-copula using the random number generators for multivariate normal and multivariate t in package mvtnorm.

Generating variables form a general copula can be done by iterative conditioning (Bouye et al. 2000). For some commonly used Archimedean copulas with $p > 2$, however, fast algorithm exits when the inverse generator function $\varphi^{-1}$ is known to be the Laplace transform of some positive random variable (Marshall and Olkin 1988; Frees and Valdez 1998). This positive random variable is often referred to as frailty. Let $\gamma$ be a realization of the frailty. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_p$ be independent realizations of uniform variables over $(0, 1)$. Then $u_i = \varphi^{-1}(-\gamma^{-1} \log v_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, p$, is a realization from the Archimedean copula with generator $\varphi$. This algorithm is very easy to implement and fast, given that a random number generator of the frailty is available. It is known that the frailty distribution for Clayton, Frank, and Gumbel copulas are gamma, log-series, and positive stable, respectively; see Table 1. Gamma variable generator is available in the R base. Algorithms for generating positive stable and log series variables can be found in Chambers, Mallows, and Stuck (1976) and Kemp (1981), respectively. In particular, the copula package uses a Fortran implementation of Nolan (2006), which is a revised version of Chambers et al. (1976), to generate positive stable variables. From the compound construction, this algorithm only allows positive association.

For bivariate Archimedean copulas ($p = 2$), negative association is allowed. Random number generator for bivariate Archimedean copulas are therefore separately implemented.

The following code illustrates the random number generation and evaluation of distribution and density for the copula object myCop.t created in Section 2:

```R
R> u <- rcopula(myCop.t, 4)
R> u
```
To generate random numbers from a \texttt{mvdc} object, one only needs to apply the quantile function to random numbers of the specified copula on each margin. The following code illustrates the random number generation and evaluation of distribution and density for the \texttt{mvdc} object \texttt{mymvd} created in Section 2:

\begin{verbatim}
R> x <- rmvdc(myMvd, 4)
R> x

[1,] 0.5330151 -0.06126913 2.17724731
[2,] -0.9815417  1.01855167 -0.05273936
[3,] 0.2210124  0.33882413 -0.48329446
[4,] -1.3613943  0.09657746 -1.09767362
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
R> cbind(dmvdc(myMvd, x), pmvdc(myMvd, x))

[1,] 0.01188019 0.3922578 0.00589479
[2,] 0.01868019 0.3922578 0.00589479
[3,] 0.01868019 0.3922578 0.00589479
[4,] 0.01868019 0.3922578 0.00589479
\end{verbatim}

\subsection{3.3. Graphics}

Graphics are important tools for illustrating and presenting the results of copula-based modeling. The 3D scatter plot from the package \texttt{scatterplot3d} can be used to show scatters. For example, the following code plots 200 random points from a trivariate normal copula and a trivariate t-copula in Figure 1.

\begin{verbatim}
R> par(mfrow = c(1, 2), mar = c(2, 2, 1, 1), oma = c(1, 1, 0, 0), + mgp = c(2, 1, 0))
R> u <- rcopula(myCop.norm, 200)
R> scatterplot3d(u)
R> v <- rcopula(myCop.norm, 200)
R> scatterplot3d(v)
\end{verbatim}
For both copula and mvdc objects, the `copula` package has implemented methods to draw perspective and contour plot for density and distribution. These method functions are `persp` and `contour`. We illustrate the usage of `contour` for mvdc objects. The following code plots the density contours of bivariate distributions defined with Clayton, Frank, and Gumbel copulas, all with both margins being standard normal:

```r
R> myMvd1 <- mvdc(copula = archmCopula(family = "clayton", param = 2),
+    margins = c("norm", "norm"), paramMargins = list(list(mean = 0,
+      sd = 1), list(mean = 0, sd = 1)))
R> myMvd2 <- mvdc(copula = archmCopula(family = "frank", param = 5.736),
+    margins = c("norm", "norm"), paramMargins = list(list(mean = 0,
+      sd = 1), list(mean = 0, sd = 1)))
R> myMvd3 <- mvdc(copula = archmCopula(family = "gumbel", param = 2),
+    margins = c("norm", "norm"), paramMargins = list(list(mean = 0,
+      sd = 1), list(mean = 0, sd = 1)))
R> par(mfrow = c(1, 3), mar = c(2, 2, 1, 1), oma = c(1, 1, 0, 0),
+    mgp = c(2, 1, 0))
R> contour(myMvd1, dmvdc, xlim = c(-3, 3), ylim = c(-3, 3))
R> contour(myMvd2, dmvdc, xlim = c(-3, 3), ylim = c(-3, 3))
R> contour(myMvd3, dmvdc, xlim = c(-3, 3), ylim = c(-3, 3))
```

The contour plots are shown in Figure 2. Note that the parameters of the copulas are chosen such that the Kendall’s $\tau$ for all three distributions are 0.5.

The `persp` method can be called similarly. The first argument in these two calls are the signature that determines which method to call. It should be either a `copula` object or a `mvdc` object. The second argument specifies the function for which the plots are to drawn, that is, PDF or CDF.
4. Fit a copula model

With density functions for copula and mvdc objects available, one can easily fit copula-based models with the maximum likelihood method. The package provides functions loglikCopula and loglikMvdc to evaluate the loglikelihood of the data under the specified copula model. These functions can be passed to an optimizer to obtain the maximum likelihood estimate. The package provides functions fitCopula and fitMvdc to carry out the estimation and report the results.

Suppose that we observe $n$ independent realizations from a multivariate distribution, \{$(X_{i1}, \ldots, X_{ip})^\top$: $i = 1, \ldots, n$\}. Suppose that the multivariate distribution is specified by $p$ margins with CDF $F_i$ and PDF $f_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, p$, and a copula with density $c$. Let $\beta$ be the vector of marginal parameters and $\alpha$ be the vector of copula parameters. The parameter vector to be estimated is $\theta = (\beta^\top, \alpha^\top)^\top$. The loglikelihood function is

$$l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log c\{F_1(X_{i1}; \beta), \ldots, F_p(X_{ip}; \beta); \alpha\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \log f_i(X_{ij}; \beta). \quad (9)$$

The ML estimator of $\theta$ is

$$\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \arg\max_{\theta \in \Theta} l(\theta),$$

where $\Theta$ is the parameter space.

To illustrate, we generate a sample from a bivariate distribution with gamma margins and a normal copula:

```R
R> myMvd <- mvdc(copula = ellipCopula(family = "normal", param = 0.5), + margins = c("gamma", "gamma"), paramMargins = list(list(shape = 2, + scale = 1), list(shape = 3, scale = 2)))
R> n <- 200
R> dat <- rmvdc(myMvd, n)
```

The parameters to be estimated consist of marginal parameters $\beta = (2, 1, 3, 2)^\top$ and copula parameter $\alpha = 0.5$. The loglikelihood at the true parameter value is:
To obtain $\hat{\theta}_{ML}$, one implements the loglikelihood function $l(\theta)$ and feed it to an optimizer. The function fitMvdc is a wrapper to the optimization routine optim in R. An initial search point is needed for optim. Simpel method of moments estimate will serve as initial point.

```r
R> mm <- apply(dat, 2, mean)
R> vv <- apply(dat, 2, var)
R> b1.0 <- c(mm[1]^2/vv[1], vv[1]/mm[1])
R> b2.0 <- c(mm[2]^2/vv[2], vv[2]/mm[2])
R> a.0 <- sin(cor(dat[, 1], dat[, 2], method = "kendall") * pi/2)
R> start <- c(b1.0, b2.0, a.0)
R> fit <- fitMvdc(dat, myMvd, start = start, 
+ optim.control = list(trace = TRUE, maxit = 2000))
```

The first three arguments of function fitMvdc are the data, the mvdc object, and a starting value. Control parameters to the optimizing routine can passed in through argument optim.control. The starting values here are arbitrarily chosen. In real problems, the starting values of marginal parameters can be chosen by fitting each margin separately. The result of the estimation is summarized as:

```r
R> fit
```

The ML estimation is based on 200 observations.

Margin 1 :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m1.shape</td>
<td>1.830479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m1.scale</td>
<td>1.037388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Margin 2 :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m2.shape</td>
<td>3.515646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m2.scale</td>
<td>1.628037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copula:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rho.1</td>
<td>0.419909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximized loglikelihood is -777.327

The convergence code is 0

As the dimension $p$ gets large, the number of parameters increases, and the optimization problem gets harder. Joe and Xu (1996) proposed a two-stage estimation method called inference functions for margins (IFM). The IFM method estimates the marginal parameters $\beta$ in a first step by

$$\hat{\beta}_{IFM} = \arg\max_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \log f_i(X_{ij}; \beta),$$

(10)
and then estimates the association parameters $\alpha$ given $\hat{\beta}_{IFM}$ by

$$
\hat{\alpha}_{IFM} = \arg\max_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log c\left(F_1(X_{i1}; \hat{\beta}_{IFM}), \ldots, F_p(X_{ip}; \hat{\beta}_{IFM}); \alpha\right).
$$

(11)

When each marginal distribution $F_i$ has its own parameters $\beta_i$ so that $\beta = (\beta_1^\top, \ldots, \beta_p^\top)^\top$, the first step consists of an ML estimation for each margin $j = 1, \ldots, p$:

$$
\hat{\beta}_{jIFM} = \arg\max_{\beta_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f_i(X_{ij}; \beta_j).
$$

(12)

In this case, each maximization task has a very small number of parameters, greatly reducing the computational difficulty. This approach is called the two-stage parametric ML method by Shih and Louis (1995) in a censored data setting. In our illustration, the method can be carried out with the function `fitCopula`.

```R
loglik.marg <- function(b, x) sum(dgamma(x, shape = b[1], scale = b[2],
  + log = TRUE))
ctrl <- list(fnscale = -1)
b1hat <- optim(b1.0, fn = loglik.marg, x = dat[, 1], control = ctrl)$par
b2hat <- optim(b2.0, fn = loglik.marg, x = dat[, 2], control = ctrl)$par
udat <- cbind(pgamma(dat[, 1], shape = b1hat[1], scale = b1hat[2]),
  + pgamma(dat[, 2], shape = b2hat[1], scale = b2hat[2]))
fit.ifl <- fitCopula(udat, myMvd@copula, start = a.0)
R> c(b1hat, b2hat, fit.ifl@est)
[1] 1.8301906 1.0375602 3.5167486 1.6284508 0.4199346
R> fit.ifl
The ML estimation is based on 200 observations.

Estimate Std. Error  z value  Pr(>|z|)
rho.1 0.4199346 0.05368175 7.822671 5.107026e-15
The maximized loglikelihood is 19.41620
The convergence code is 0
```

Note that the IFM estimate is close to the ML estimate. The standard error of $\hat{\alpha}_{IFM}$ is underestimated because the variation of $\hat{\beta}_{IFM}$ is not appropriately taken care of.

When consistent estimation of the dependence parameter $\alpha$ is important, it can be estimated with the canonical ML (CML) method without specifying the marginal distributions. This approach uses the empirical CDF of each marginal distribution to transform the observations $(X_{i1}, \ldots, X_{ip})^\top$ into pseudo-observations with uniform margins $(U_{i1}, \ldots, U_{ip})^\top$ and then estimates $\alpha$ as

$$
\hat{\alpha}_{CML} = \arg\max_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log c(U_{i1}, \ldots, U_{ip}; \alpha).
$$

(13)

The method can be carried out with `fitCopula` as well:
Enjoy the Joy of Copulas

```r
R> eu <- cbind((rank(dat[, 1]) - 0.5)/n, (rank(dat[, 2]) - 0.5)/n)
R> fit.cml <- fitCopula(eu, myMvd@copula, start = a.0)
R> fit.cml

The ML estimation is based on 200 observations.

| Est.  | Std. Error | z value | Pr(>|z|) |
|-------|------------|---------|----------|
| rho.1 | 0.4304444  | 0.05311327 | 8.104272 | 4.440892e-16 |

The maximized loglikelihood is 20.29229
The convergence code is 0

The CML estimate $\hat{\alpha}_{CML}$ is noticeably different from $\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_{IFM}$. It has the advantage of not relying on marginal specifications.

Maximum likelihood estimation of copula-based models can be easily extended to solve more complicated problems. For example, when covariates are to be incorporated into the margins, all one needs to do is to write the loglikelihood function (9), which is the summation of the loglikelihood of the copula and the loglikelihood of all the margins. Then, maximum likelihood estimates can be obtained by feeding the loglikelihood function to an optimizer. Example code for incorporating covariates into the margins is presented in the Appendix where two margins are modeled by gamma regression and log-normal regression, respectively. Covariates can also be incorporated into copula parameters in a similar fashion.

5. Numerical issues

The accuracy of the implemented functions is important for a user to feel comfortable using the package. Several numerical issues are encountered in the development of package copula: distribution/density evaluation, limits in parameter space, and constrained optimization. Although these issues are not yet elegantly solved in the package, they are worth bringing up for developers to seek for better solutions and for users to be aware of the potential limitations.

Numerical issues in CDF/PDF evaluation mostly arise near or on the boundary of the support of copulas. Evaluation of CDFs is important in extreme value analysis because both lower and upper tail dependence indices are defined as the limits of CDFs in extreme values (Joe 1997, p.33). For example, the lower tail dependence index of a bivariate copula is $\lambda_L = \lim_{u \to 0} \Pr(U_1 \leq u | U_2 \leq u) = \lim_{u \to 0} C(u, u)/u$. Evaluation of PDFs is essential in likelihood based inferences. We focus our discussion on the numerical issues in PDF evaluation.

For an Archimedean copula, the PDF can be obtained in theory by differentiating the CDF, which is constructed from the generator function and its inverse function. Unfortunately, evaluation of PDF expressions obtained in this way may lead to serious inaccuracy at boundary points because of the numerical errors accumulated in the sequence of function evaluations. A remedy to the problem is to algebraically simplify the expressions before numerically evaluating them. In the new release of copula, simplified symbolic expressions of CDF and PDF for Archimedean copulas are obtained from Mathematica. Getting these expressions can be very memory/time-consuming when the dimension gets higher; the maximum implemented dimensions are 10, 6, and 10 for Clayton, Frank, and Gumbel copula, respectively, with Frank copula being the most difficult case. Without simplification, as illustrated by a referee, evaluation of Frank copula density with strong dependence ($\alpha = 50$) near the boundary of the
support would give NaN while numerical values can actually be obtained if simplified expressions are used. Such simplified expressions are made available from Maple in package fCopulae of Rmetrics (Würtz 2004). Further increase in the efficiency of the evaluation can be obtained in package copula by processing these symbolic expressions with the R function deriv to produce algorithmic expressions so that repetitious evaluations are avoided. This remedy works quite well for copulas of relatively lower dimension (2 or 3), but can still give NaN at boundary points for higher dimensional Archimedean copulas. An illustration is contained in the tests directory of the copula source.

Numerical problems in density evaluation can also arise as the copula parameter approaches some limit values. The independent copula can often be obtained as the limiting case of Archimedean copulas when the copula parameter approaches certain values. Consequently, separate formulas need to be used for these parameter values. Numerical problems can, however, occur when parameters are very close but not exactly equal to these values. For example, when the dependence parameter is near zero for a bivariate Clayton copula, the numerical evaluation is indeterminate. In the current release, when this parameter is within a small neighborhood of zero, it is treated as zero. This is certainly not an elegant solution.

For elliptical copulas, the accuracy of CDF and PDF depends on the accuracy of the multivariate distributions implemented in mvtnorm and univariate quantile function such as qt in R base. In a recent personal communication, Atwood (2007) noted that the density of a t-copula with d.f. less than 1 cannot be evaluated because function qt returns NaN for d.f. less than 1; further, when the correlation coefficient in a normal or t-copula approaches −1 or 1, Cholesky decomposition which is used in density evaluation of multivariate normal and t might fail.

Numerical issues of PDF evaluation at certain parameter values cause problems in maximum likelihood estimation while the optimizer evaluates the loglikelihood function. Consequently, lower and upper limits of the parameter space to be searched within is desired in the argument list of fitCopula. Such limits can be particularly useful when the user has some prior knowledge about where the parameter values may be in the parameter space.

6. Discussion

This article presents the design, features, and some implementation details of the R package copula for multivariate modeling with copulas. The package provides functions to evaluate density/distribution, generate random numbers, plot, and fit copula-based models. It is hoped that, through the dissemination of the software, everyone who needs them may access easily copula-based models in daily computing and therefore enjoy, as put by Genest and MacKay (1986), the joy of copulas.

The random number generator of high dimensional (p > 2) Archimedean copulas in the package currently uses the compound construction algorithm (Marshall and Olkin 1988; Frees and Valdez 1998). When the frailty distribution is known, this algorithm is very efficient and elegant. Whelan (2004) proposed an algorithm based on integral representations, and the algorithm can be used with composite nested Archimedean copulas. Wu, Valdez, and Sherris (2006) recently proposed an algorithm for sampling from exchangeable Archimedean copulas based on an extension of the variable transformation technique from the bivariate case to multivariate case (Genest and Rivest 2001). These method can be useful when the frailly
distribution is unknown. An alternative in that case is to obtain the frailty distribution with numerical inversion of Laplace transformation (Melchiori 2006).

Given a dataset, choosing a copula to fit the data is an important but difficult problem (Durrieu, Nikeghbali, and Roncalli 2000). The true data generation mechanism is unknown, for a given amount of data, it is possible that several candidate copulas fit the data reasonably well or that none of the candidate fits the data well. When maximum likelihood method is used, the general practice is to fit the data with all the candidate copulas and choose the ones with the highest likelihood (Frees and Wang 2005). A graphical tool to choose among Archimedean copulas is based on the Kendall’s process (Genest and Rivest 1993). Recent works on goodness-of-fit tests of copulas are mostly chi-squared type tests; see, for example, Fermanian (2005) and references therein. Copula selection and goodness-of-fit are active research areas.

There are several other packages about copulas on CRAN. Package fgac (Gonzalez-Lopez 2005) fits bivariate data to seven families of two-parameter Archimedean copulas by matching the parametric CDF with the empirical CDF. Package mlCopulaSelection (Garcia and Gonzalez-Lopez 2006) uses maximum likelihood method to select a best fit from a range of bivariate two-parameter Archimedean copulas. Package sbgcop (Hoff 2007) provides semi-parametric Bayesian estimation for Gaussian copula parameters with univariate marginal distributions treated as nuisance parameters. A forthcoming package for bivariate copulas with a wider scope is fCopulae in Rmetrics (Würtz 2004). This package will provide functions for elliptical copulas, Archimedean copulas, extreme value copulas, and empirical copula. When the planned functions and documentations are complete, fCopulae will be a package to be expected for copula users. Of note is that all these packages except sbgcop deal with bivariate copulas. This is in contrast to package copula.

New functions in the most recent release of package copula include bivariate extreme value copulas, bivariate association measures, and some other bivariate copulas. Implemented bivariate extreme value copulas are Galambos copula (Galambos 1987) and Husler-Reiss copula (Hüsler and Reiss 1989), in addition to Gumbel copula (Gumbel 1960) which is also an Archimedean copula. Implemented bivariate association measures are Kendall’s tau, Spearman’s rho, and tail index (lower and upper). Given an association measure (Kendall’s tau or Spearman’s rho), calibration functions is implemented to return the copula parameter value that leads to the specified association measure. These association measures are implemented numerically for all copulas, but analytic formulas are used whenever available. Newly added bivariate copulas are Ali-Mikhail-Haq copula (Ali, Mikhail, and Haq 1978) and Plackett copula (Plackett 1965).

Some desirable functions are not yet implemented in package copula. Estimation methods other than maximum likelihood are needed. These may include moment method and non-parametric method. For Archimedean copulas, a copula can be estimated by matching the parametric generator function and nonparametric estimates of the generator. Copula selection and goodness-of-fit testing tools are also needed. Copula selection is usually done by choosing one that minimizes certain deviation measure of the observed data from the assumed copula family. Goodness-of-fit testing involves assessing the significance of the observed deviation measure against the its null distribution under the assumed copula family. Empirical copula is a useful tool for data analysis. Bivariate empirical copula is a bivariate empirical CDF that generalizes the univariate function ecdf in R. A bivariate version of stepfun is needed. The empirical association measures can be obtained from cor.test. Last but not least, more
commonly used copulas are needed such that users have a wider range of copulas to choose from and avoid repetitious implementation. High quality implementations of these functions will push forward the envelope of the enjoyable joy of copulas.
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A. Example code with covariates in margins

This section illustrates how to construct the loglikelihood function using the facilities in the \texttt{copula} package when covariates are to be incorporated into the margins. Suppose that we observe \(n\) bivariate observations \(\{(Y_{i1}, Y_{i2}) : i = 1, \ldots, n\}\), and for each margin, there is a corresponding covariate matrix. The first margin \(Y_{i1}\) follows a gamma distribution with shape \(\exp(X_{i1}^\top \beta_1)\) and scale \(\nu\). The second margin, after log transformation, \(\log(Y_{i2})\) follows a normal distribution with mean \(X_{i2}^\top \beta_2\) and standard deviation \(\sigma\).

The following code defines the three components of the loglikelihood: gamma margin, log-normal margin, and copula.

\begin{verbatim}
R> loglik.m1 <- function(b, y, x) {
+   l <- length(b)
+   sum(dgamma(y, shape = exp(x %*% b[-l]), scale = b[l], log = TRUE))
+ }
R> loglik.m2 <- function(b, y, x) {
+   l <- length(b)
+   sum(dlnorm(y, meanlog = x %*% b[-l], sdlog = b[l], log = TRUE))
+ }
R> loglik.cop <- function(a, u, copula) {
+   copula@parameters <- a
+   sum(log(dcopula(copula, u)))
+ }

Note that the contribution from the copula needs to be fed with probability integral transformed margins. The following code provides the transformation.

\begin{verbatim}
R> probtrans.m1 <- function(b, y, x) {
+   l <- length(b)
+   pgamma(y, shape = exp(x %*% b[-l]), scale = b[l])
+ }
R> probtrans.m2 <- function(b, y, x) {
+   l <- length(b)
+   plnorm(y, meanlog = x %*% b[-l], sdlog = b[l])
+ }

The loglikelihood function can be easily composed as:

\begin{verbatim}
R> myloglik <- function(theta, y, xmat, copula) {
+   l1 <- ncol(xmat[[1]]) + 1
+   l2 <- ncol(xmat[[2]]) + 1
+   b1 <- theta[1:l1]
+   b2 <- theta[(l1 + 1):(l1 + l2)]
+   a <- theta[-(1:(l1 + l2))]
+   u <- cbind(probtrans.m1(b1, y[, 1], xmat[[1]]), probtrans.m2(b2, y[, 2], xmat[[2]]))
+   copula@parameters <- a
+   loglik <- loglik.m1(b1, y[, 1], xmat[[1]]) + loglik.m2(b2,
\end{verbatim}
This function can then be fed to an optimization routine. To illustrate, we define a function to generate response variables from given parameter vector, design matrices, and copula structure:

```r
R> genY <- function(theta, xmat, copula) {
+   l1 <- ncol(xmat[[1]]) + 1
+   l2 <- ncol(xmat[[2]]) + 1
+   b1 <- theta[1:l1]
+   b2 <- theta[(l1 + 1):(l1 + l2)]
+   a <- theta[-(1:(l1 + l2))]
+   n <- nrow(xmat[[1]])
+   u <- rcopula(copula, n)
+   y1 <- qgamma(u[, 1], shape = exp(xmat[[1]] %*% b1[-l1]),
+                scale = b1[l1])
+   y2 <- qlnorm(u[, 2], meanlog = xmat[[2]] %*% b2[-l1], sdlog = b2[l2])
+   cbind(y1, y2)
+ }
```

Now, we generate a sample of size \(n = 200\). The design matrices are generated from a continuous normal variable and a binary variable, respectively.

```r
R> n <- 200
R> xmat <- list(model.matrix(~rnorm(n)), model.matrix(~rbinom(n,
+    prob = 0.5, size = 1)))
R> b1 <- c(1, 0.5, 2)
R> b2 <- c(2, -1, 3)
R> a <- 0.5
R> theta <- c(b1, b2, a)
R> myCop <- normalCopula(a, dim = 2, dispstr = "ex")
R> y <- genY(theta, xmat, myCop)
R> myloglik(theta, y, xmat, myCop)

[1] -1281.640
```

The optimization routine needs an initial search point. The initial point may be chosen based on regressions on each margin and MoM on the copula. For illustration purpose, we use the true value as starting value and see how fast it converges:

```r
R> fit <- optim(theta, myloglik, control = list(fnscale = -1, trace = 1),
+               y = y, xmat = xmat, copula = myCop, method = "BFGS")

initial value 1281.639657
iter 10 value 1279.312818
final value 1279.311152
converged
```
R> fit

$par
[1] 1.0711075  0.5129254  1.7993063  2.2886217 -1.1995043  2.8907541  0.4166082

$value
[1] -1279.311

$counts
function gradient
   47    11

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
NULL

The variance matrix of the estimator can be estimated by inverting the Fisher information
matrix, which is approximated by the negative Hessian matrix.
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